Research Article

ISSN: 2642-1747

Copyright@ Keshab C Bhuyan

Factors Responsible for Diabetes Among Adult People of Bangladesh

Keshab C Bhuyan^{1*} and Jannatul Fardus²

¹Jahangirnagar University, Bangladesh

²American International University, Bangladesh

*Corresponding author: Keshab C Bhuyan, Jahangirnagar University, Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

To Cite This Article: Keshab C Bhuyan. Factors Responsible for Diabetes Among Adult People of Bangladesh. Am J Biomed Sci & Res. 2019 - 2(4). AJBSR.MS.ID.000593. DOI: 10.34297/AJBSR.2019.02.000593

Received: March 18, 2019 | Published: April 17, 2019

Abstract

The present analysis was conducted in the American International University of Bangladesh using the data collected from 900 respondents. Among the respondents 70.6 percent were diabetic patients. Finding reveals that the largest percentage of patients was of the age group more than or equal to 50 years. About 34 percent patients were suffering from Type II diabetes and among them 63.3 percent were in the age group 50+ years. Higher prevalence rates of diabetes were observed among farmers and retired persons and among obese and severe obese group of persons. The factors responsible for diabetes were identified by logistic regression analysis using prevalence and non-prevalence of diabetes as dependent variable. Age was the most important influencing factor for diabetes followed by level of education of the respondents.

Keywords: Diabetic patients; Body Mass Index; Socio-demographic factors; Logistic Regression

Introduction

Diabetes is a disease characterized by excessive urination which is caused by insufficient insulin production or lack of responsiveness to insulin. The impact of insufficient insulin production is hyperglycemia. Thus, diabetes mellitus is a major and growing health problem in most countries and an important cause of prolonged disease and early death since insulin is essential to process carbohydrate, fat and protein [1]. Insufficient insulin production is associated with health problem such as gangrene, blindness, kidney failure, health failure, prolonged ill health and death due to vascular diseases [2-5]. The risk factors for cardiovascular disease are glucose and lipid abnormalities and the prevalence of this disease is a major factor due to diabetes in both developed and developing countries [6].

Nearly 80% of people with diabetes live in low- and middle-income countries [4]. Diabetes is prevalent among 10% people of Bangladesh and according to the international Diabetes Federation, the prevalence will be 13% by 2030 [4]. However, no nationally representative, epidemiological study of the prevalence of diabetes and its risk factors has been carried out in the country. People are also not aware, especially the rural people, of the disease and the factors responsible for the disease. There are mainly two types of diabetes, Type I and Type II. Type I diabetes may be caused by an autoimmune response and it is insulin dependent diabetes. Insulin is produced in the pancreas by the beta cells of the islets of Langer

hans. Absence or destruction or loss of these cells causes an absolute deficiency of insulin leading to Type I diabetes. Type II diabetes is a heterogeneous disorder and the patients of this type of diabetes have insulin resistances their beta cells lack the ability to overcome this resistance. Whatever be the type of the disease, complications arise due to the disease. There are 3 major categories of complications and these are (a) acute, complications, (b) long-term complications, and (c) complications caused by associated autoimmune diseases. Acute compilations include hypoglycemia, hyperglycemia, and death during diagnosis. People, even the government, are not aware of the complication and as a result, the factors responsible for the disease are not well identified. The aim of this paper was to identify the socio-demographic variables responsible for diabetes among some people of rural and urban areas of Bangladesh. The important factors responsible for diabetes can be identified by doing logistic regression analysis.

Methodology

The analytical results were presented from the data collected from a sample of 900 persons living in Bangladesh. Among the respondents, prevalence of diabetes was observed among 635 persons and 235 persons were not affected by diabetes. The data were collected by postgraduate students of first and second -semester of 2014-2015 of American International University-Bangladesh. This group of students was doctors/nurses working in different

hospitals/ clinics. Some of them were involved in health services in both urban and rural areas. They had collected information from the working places/neighbors according to their convenience through pre-designed and pre-tested questionnaires. Five hundred forty-four people were interviewed by this group of students. Another 200 students of different disciplines were randomly selected from the university and they were asked to collect information from their parents/guardians. This latter group of students had collected information from 400 persons. But 44 filled-in questionnaires were found incomplete and these were discarded from the analysis. Finally, the analysis was done using the data of 900 persons.

The questionnaire contains questions related to socio-demographic characteristics of each person. Questionnaire also contains questions related to the stage of disease, treatment stage of disease, pre-caution against the disease and the stage of complications of the disease. The information regarding blood sugar level and blood pressure level were also collected according to the latest measure-

ment by doctors/diagnostic centers. The body mass index [BMI, Weight in kg/ (height in cm2)] for every respondent was calculated. The respondents were classified as underweight (if BMI < 20), overweight (if BMI was 20-25), obese (if BMI was 25-30) and severe obese (if BMI was 30+) [7]. Data were classified for diabetic and non-diabetic people and accordingly association of diabetes and socio-demographic variables of respondents were studied. Significant association was determined by chi-square test with p-value < 0.05. The statistical analysis is done by using the SPSS [version 17.0; 8] and MATLAB.

The logistic regression analysis [9,10] was done using the variables residence, age, sex, education, occupation, type of work, and income, body mass index as explanatory variables and prevalence of diabetes [yes=1, no=0] as dependent variable. Here sex, occupation, residence, and type of work were nominal variables. Thus, we have transferred all the variables in nominal form by assigning numbers.

Results

Table 1: Distribution of respondents by the prevalence of diabetes and residential origin.										
_ , ,	Residential Origin Total									
Prevalence of Diabetes	Ru	ral	Ur	ban		07				
Diabetes	n	%	n	%	n	%				
Yes	138	21.7	497	78.3	635	70.6				
No	29	10.7	236	89.3	265	29.4				
Total	167	18.6	733	81.4	900	100				

Among 900 respondents sampled 635 were diabetic patients (70.6%). Since objective of the study was not to find an estimate of prevalence rate, the investigated variables were classified by prevalence of diabetes and by other socioeconomic characteristics. The classified results were shown in tabular form and the classified results were used to test the independence of any two characters (Table 1).

As shown in Table 1, the prevalence of diabetes was observed among 78.3% urban people and 21.7% among rural people. Prev-

alence of diabetes according to residential origin was significantly higher in urban area recorded from the uni-variate analysis (p-value < 0.001). This finding was similar with the finding observed at national level [11], where more urban people were diabetic patients (67.8%) compared to non-diabetic patients. Among the respondents 58.9% were males and 71.1% of them were diabetic. Among females 69.7% were diabetic patients. The differentials in prevalence of diabetes according to sex were not statistically significant (p- value= 0.65, Table 2).

Table 2: Distribution	n of respondents acco	ording to sex and prev	alence of diabetes.				
		Se	Total				
Prevalence of diabetes	Ma	ale	Fen	nale	_	07	
diabetes	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Yes	377	71.1	258	69.7	635	70.6	
No	153	28.9	112	30.3	265	29.4	
Total	530	58.9	370	41.1	900	100	

Table 3: Dis	Table 3: Distribution of respondents according to age and prevalence of diabetes.										
Prevalence			Total								
of	<2	<25 25-40 40-50 over 50+						n	%		
diabetes	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%			
Yes	7	36.8	62	50	180	64.1	386	81.1	635	70.6	
No	12	63.2	62	50	101	35.9	90	18.9	265	29.4	
Total	19	2.1	124	13.8	281	31.2	476	52.9	900	100	

In different studies [11,12-14] it was reported that prevalence of diabetes was higher among the middle aged and among older

people. In this study also it was seen that among 52.9% of respondents in the age group 50+ years 81.1% were diabetic (Table 3).

The prevalence rate was significantly lower among the lower aged group of respondents (p<0.001). This finding is consistent with that observed globally.

Out of 900 respondents 635 were diabetics (70.6%) and among them 50.1 percent were in the obese and severe obese group (Table 4) against the overall percentage (49.3%) of these groups of respondents. All most 50 percent respondents were in obese and severe obese groups and these levels of obesity were mostly responsible for higher prevalence rate of diabetes. The study indicated that increase in level of obesity was significantly associated with the prevalence of diabetes [p-value < 0.000]. Similar findings were

observed in both home and abroad [15-17]. It has been reported [12,16] that positive association exists between diabetes and level of education. It has also been reported [1] that there is significant association between level of education and pre-diabetes. In the present study also, significant association was observed between prevalence of diabetes and level of education (p-value 0.001). More than 62% of (Table 5) respondents were at least graduated and among them 64.8% were affected by diabetes. The results indicated that there was negative association between prevalence of diabetes and level of education. Similar findings are also observed in some developed and developing countries [12,16] (Table 5).

Table 4: Distr	Table 4: Distribution of respondents according to level of obesity and prevalence of diabetes.											
Prevalence Level of obesity Tot												
of diabetes	Underwe	eight	Over	Over weight Obese		Obese Severe Obes		Obese Severe Ob		Severe Obesity		%
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%				
Yes	46	67.6	271	69.8	217	70.9	101	73.2	635	70.6		
No	22	32.4	117	30.2	89	29.1	37	26.8	265	29.4		
Total	68	7.4	388	43.3	306	34.5	138	14.8	900	100		

Table 5: Distribution	on of respondents	according	g to level of ed	ucation a	nd prevalenc	e of diabe	etes.					
			То	tal								
Prevalence of diabetes	Illiterate		Primary		Secondary		Graduate		Post Graduate			0/
anabetes	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Yes	30	83.3	73	88	167	76.6	240	66.3	125	62.2	635	70.6
No	6	16.7	10	12	51	23.4	122	33.7	76	37.8	265	29.4
Total	36	4	83	9.2	218	24.2	362	40.2	201	22.4	900	100

There were 4.3% agriculturists in the sample and prevalence rate of diabetes among them were higher (87.2% Table 6). Largest prevalence rate was observed among employees of private organizations. The second higher prevalence rate was observed among retired persons. The higher prevalence rate among these two groups of people may be due to non-involvement with physical labors/

activities. In the sample, a good number of people (18.9%) were not involved with any physical labor. This proportion among diabetes patients was 0.268 (Table 7). The prevalence rate was expected to be higher among them. The differentials in prevalence rate according to respondent's occupation were statistically significant as p-value 0.01 (Table 6).

Table 6: Distribution of respondents according to occupation and prevalence of diabetes.														
Prevalence						0	ccupation							Total
of	Agric	ulture	Busi	ness	Govt. S	ervice	Private	Service	Ret	ired	House	ewife		0/
diabetes	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Yes	34	87.2	151	72.2	101	65.6	130	62.8	85	76.6	134	74.4	635	70.6
No	5	12.8	58	27.8	53	34.4	77	37.2	26	23.4	46	25.6	265	29.4
Total	39	4.3	209	23.2	154	17.1	207	23	111	12.3	180	20	900	100

Table 7: Distribution of respondents according to their age and type of diabetes.											
m	Age group (in years) Total										
Types of Diabetes	<2	25	25	-40	40	-50	50	0+		%	
Diabetes	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	90	
I	3	2.3	20	15.8	46	34.8	63	47.7	132	20.8	
II	3	1.4	25	11.6	51	23.7	136	63.3	215	33.8	
III	0	0	2	10.5	3	15.8	14	73.7	19	3	
Unknown	1	0.4	15	5.6	80	29.7	173	64.3	269	42.4	
Total	7	1.1	62	9.8	180	28.3	386	60.8	635	100	

It has already been mentioned that 70.6% respondents were diabetic patients. These respondents were classified by their type of diabetes and according to their age (Table 7) and type of work they do (Table 8). A good number (269 out of 635) of patients were unaware about their type of diabetes (Table 7).

Only 20.8% were suffering from Type I diabetes and 47.7% of them were in the age group over 50 years old. This differential by age and type of diabetes was significant as (p 0.01). The study indi-

cated that most of the patients were suffering from Type II diabetes. This result is similar to that observed in a separate study [1].

Higher proportion of diabetic patients was doing physical labor and 27.5% of them were suffering from Type II diabetes. The corresponding figure among the patients who were not doing work with physical labor is 40.6. There were significant differences in the proportions of respondents suffering from diabetes according to the type of work they do (p 0.01) (Table 8).

Table 8: Distribution	n of diabetic	patients acc	ording to the	eir type of diabete	es and type o	of work they	do.			
		То	tal							
Types of Diabetes	Only official work			k with physical labor	Physical labor		Work without physical labor		n	%
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%		
I	40	24.7	22	16.7	33	19.3	37	21.8	132	20.8
II	63	38.9	36	27.3	47	27.5	69	40.6	215	33.8
III	5	3.1	4	3	4	2.3	6	3.5	19	3
Unknown	54	33.3	70	53	87	50.9	58	34.1	269	42.4
Total	162	25.5	132	20.8	170	26.9	170	26.8	635	100

Results of Logistic Regression

It was observed that prevalence of diabetes was associated with some of the socio-demographic variables of the respondents. The prevalence was measured by yes = 1 and no=0 and we had a binary variable. This binary variable was used as dependent variable to fit a binary logistic model. The explanatory variables were used residence, age, education, occupation, gender, marital status, religion, type of work, income, smoking habit and body mass index.

The regression results showed that main cause of diabetes was the age followed by level of education. Though the impacts of residence, gender, income, smoking habit and level of BMI were not statistically significant, it revealed that urban people were 1.474 times exposed to diabetes. Lower educated people also were 1.309 times more exposed to diabetes. Females, smokers, rich people were also more exposed to diabetes. The overall regression results were significant [Wald Statistic=142.79, p-value=0.000; Nagelkerke R2 = 0.135] (Table 9).

9: Results of the logist	tic regression analysis.			
Variable	Coefficient, B	Wald Statistic	p-value	Exp(B)
Residence	0.388	2.481	0.115	10474
Age	-0.028	44.163	0	0.972
Gender	0.242	1.403	0.236	1.274
Education	0.27	7.67	0.006	1.309
Occupation	-0.055	0.83	0.362	0.946
Work type	0.053	1.036	0.309	1.055
Income	0.008	0.01	0.92	1.008
Marital status	-0.032	0.019	890	0.969
Religion	-0.039	0.033	0.855	0.961
Smoking habit	0.078	0.156	0.693	1.081
BMI	-0.021	1.663	0.197	0.98
Constant	-1.229	2.172	0.141	0.29

Discussion and Conclusion

Higher prevalence rate of diabetes was observed among male respondents. Higher educated people were less affected by diabetes. Prevalence rates of diabetes were higher among illiterate, primary educated and secondary level educated people. The prevalence rates of diabetes were higher among farmers and retired persons and the prevalence rate was lower among the lower aged respondents. Among the respondents 26.8% are not doing any physical labor and 40.6% of them are suffering from Type II diabe-

tes. This percentage is obviously higher compared to the percentages of other groups of respondents. Among the diabetic patients 60.8% were in the age group over 50 years and above. This result is similar to that observed in a different work [1]. Analytical results indicated that among the diabetic patients most of them were from urban area. This result is similar as is observed in another study [7,9].

This is probably due to the fact that we were mainly interested to study the factors important for diabetes and accordingly mostly diabetic patients were investigated. Age was significantly associated with diabetes. The logistic regression analysis also indicated that the age was an influencing factor to enhance the prevalence of diabetes among the people. These findings are similar as are observed around the world [11]. Two studies conducted in China and India confirmed this finding [16,18]. The analysis indicated that prevalence of diabetes was significantly different according to different socio-demographic variables. The variable age was the main factor for increasing the prevalence rate of diabetes followed by education. Education was significantly positively associated with prevalence of diabetes. It indicated that higher educated people were more aware about the health hazard of diabetes. The inverse association between diabetes and education are also observed in both developing and developed countries [21,22].

Occupation and income were important components of socioeconomic status of people. In this study both the variables were found significantly associated with diabetes. Higher prevalence rate was observed among retired persons and among persons involved in work without physical labor. In some studies, socioeconomic status and diabetes are inversely associated. The risk of diabetes is increased with the increase of socioeconomic status [15-21,22-23]. Our findings are similar with the findings mentioned above. Most of the findings reported here are consistent with the findings by other research workers in Bangladesh, India and Pakistan [16,17,22,23]. Some of the social factors associated with the prevalence of diabetes are identified by Chi-square test as is usual process used in other studies in Bangladesh, China and India [16,17,22]. In this paper to identify the most important social factors responsible for diabetes logistic regression analysis was done. The analysis identified some of the risk factors for prevalence of diabetes and these factors were age, education, work type and residence. The prevalence rate of diabetes was more among the aged and retired persons.

Diabetes is a serious problem of health hazard in Bangladesh. However the challenge of this health problem can be tackled by (a) incorporating some techniques to investigate the people occasionally to check the health condition of them and accordingly they can be advised to take care of health, (b) encouraging all adults and retired persons participate at blood screening program so that they can be alerted against the health hazard of diabetes, (c) encouraging the people, especially among urban area to do some sorts of physical activities. The public health authority can play a decisive role for the above steps.

References

- Rahaman M, Islam J (2007) Problems with diabetes and awareness to its control: Experienced from Diabetes Patients of Rajshahi City Corporation in Bangladesh.
- (2003) International Diabetic Federation (IDF). Diabetes atlas (2nd Edn). The International Diabetes Federation, Brussels, Belgium, Europe.
- 3. Lozano R, Naghvi M, Foreman K, Lim S, Shibuya K, et al. (2012) Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis for the global Burden of disease study 2010. Lancet 380(9859): 2095-2128.
- Murray CJL, Vos T, Lozano R, Naghavi M, Flaxman AD, et al. (2012) Disability-adjusted life years for 291 disease and injuries in 21 regions,

- 1990-2010, a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of disease study 2010. Lancet 380(9859): 2197-2223.
- Arunachalam S, Gunasekaran S (2002) Diabetes research in India and China today; From literature-based mapping to health-care policy. Current Science 82(9): 1086-1087.
- Prakash Chandra RD, Naidoo DP (2016) Glycaemic control profile in diabetic patients: A sub-analysis of the phoenix lifestyle project. Euromediterranean Biomedical Journal 11(5): 33-39.
- Jannatul F, Bhuyan KC (2016) Discriminaing diabetic patients of some rural and urban area of Bangladesh: A discriminant Analysis Approach. Euromeditererranean Biomedical Journal for young doctors 11(19): 134-140.
- 8. Norusis MJ (1990) SPSS/PC+ Advanced Statistics TM 4.01 BM PC/XT AT and PS/2, Illinois.
- 9. Bhuyan KC, Rahaman S, Jannat F (2017) Body mass index and diabetes associated with socioeconomic factors among adult people of Bangladesh. AJSE 16(1.2.3): 187-192.
- Urmi AF, Bhuyan KC (2018) Obesity in children and adolescents and the factors responsible for it: A case study among children of some affluent families. Int Diab Card Dis 3(1): 56-66.
- 11. Akter S, Rahman MM, Abe SK, Sultana P (2014) Prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes and their risk factors among Bangladeshi adults: a nationwide survey. Bulletin of the World Health Organization 92(3): 204-213A.
- International Diabetes Federation (IDF). Diabetes atlas, (6thEdn) (Internet). Country estimates table 2011. The International Diabetes Federation 2012.
- 13. Rahim MA, Hussain A, Azad Khan, Sayeed MA, Keramat Ali SM, et al. (2007) Rising Prevalence of Type II Diabetes in rural Bangladesh: A Population based study. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 77(2): 300-305.
- 14. Calamusa G, Amodio E, Costantino C. et al. (2012) Body mass index and factors associated with overweight and obesity: A cross-sectional study of adult subjects living in a small city of Western Sicily (Italy). Italian Journal of Public Health 9(3): e7539.
- 15. Mokdad AH, Ford ES, Bowman BA, Dietz WH, Vinicor F, et al. (2003) Prevalence of obesity, diabetes, and obesity related health risk factors 2001. The Journal of American Medical Association 289(1): 76-79.
- 16. Yang W Lu, Weng J, Jia W, Ji L, Xiao J, et al. (2010) China National Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders Study Group, et al. Prevalence of diabetes among men and women in China. N Engl J med 362: 1090-1101.
- 17. Ramchandra A, Sneha Lata C, Kapur A, Vijay V, Mohan V, et al. (2001) Diabetes Epidemiology Study Group in India (DESL) et al. High prevalence of diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance in India: National Urban Diabetes Survey. Diabetologia 44(9): 1094-1101.
- Duc Son LN, Kusama K, Hung NT, Loan TT, Chuyen NV, et al. (2004)
 Prevalence and risk factors for diabetes in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam.
 Diabet Med 21: 371-376.
- 19. Borrell LN, Dallo FJ, White K (2006) Education and diabetes in a racially and ethnically Diverse population. Am J Public Health 96(9): 1637-1642.
- Ahsan HN, Islam MZ, Alam MB, et al. (2011) Prevalence and risk factors of Type 2 diabetes mellitus among Secretariat employees of Bangladesh. J Med 12: 125-130.
- 21. Bhowmik B, Afsana F, My Diep L, Binte Munir S, Wright E, et al. (2013) Increasing prevalence of Type 2 diabetes in a rural Bangladeshi population: a population-based study for 10 years. Diabetes Metlab J 37(1): 46-53.
- 22. Abu Sayeed M, Ali L, Hussain MZ, Rumi MA, Banu A, et al. (1997) Effect of Socioeconomic risk factors on the difference in prevalence of diabetes between rural and urban population in Bangladesh, Diabetes Care 20(4): 551-555.

Am J Biomed Sci & Res Copyright@ Keshab C Bhuyan

23. Shera AS, Rafique G, Khawaja IA, Baqai, King H. et al. (1999) Pakistan National Diabetes Survey: Prevalence of glucose intolerance and associated factors in Baluchistan province. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 44(1): 49-58.